



## Yanagi Model in practice: analysis of TOPIX firms links ESG factors to shareholder value

Exploring the positive relationship between ESG initiatives and shareholder value

This report is an English language summary of a thesis authored by Ryohei Yanagi (Waseda University), Kyoji Hasegawa, Masayuki Teraguchi and Masahiko Komatsu (Nikko AM), released in the July 2024 issue of the monthly Japanese language journal *Gekkan Shihon Shijou* published by the Capital Markets Research Institute.

December 2024

Ryohei Yanagi, Visiting Professor, Graduate School of Accountancy, Waseda University Kyoji Hasegawa, Quantitative Analyst, Investment Technology Fund Management Department Masayuki Teraguchi, Chief Operating Officer of Investment-Japan (COOI-Japan), Head of Investment Technology Fund Management Department and Head of Portfolio Control Department Masahiko Komatsu, Co-head of Japan Sustainable Investment Department Natalia Rajewska, Global Head of Sustainable Investment Masanori Aburaki, Data Engineer, Equity Fund Management Department Taro Egami, ESG Integration Specialist, Japan Sustainable Investment Department

### Introduction

Japan's Corporate Governance Code (CG Code), established in 2015 by the Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE), introduced the concept that the creation of mid- to long-term corporate value are brought as a result of contributions by a range of stakeholders. The CG Code has been revised twice since, with a particular emphasis placed on the importance of addressing sustainability issues as a means to enhance corporate value.

The "Yanagi Model" provides an example of how sustainability issues have become a key part of corporate governance practices. Developed by Dr. Ryohei Yanagi of Japan's Waseda University, the model includes non-financial elements such as environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors in the financial performance measures of companies. The model aims to enhance the long-term sustainability and value creation of companies by taking these factors into account. Many managers, accounting and sustainability experts, and asset managers in Japan and beyond have come to recognise the importance of ESG initiatives for shareholder value thanks to the Yanagi Model.

We at Nikko AM collaborated with Dr. Yanagi in a yearlong project to combine academic insights with industry and empirical studies. Our analysis showed that even when the Yanagi Model was applied to a larger universe of firms in Japan with industry-specific or company-specific factors taken into account, the incorporation of ESG elements still had a positive impact on shareholder value.

### An overview of the Yanagi Model

Amid the increasing importance of ESG, which could impact companies' sustainability, long-term success, reputation and so forth, the Yanagi model was created to elucidate the relevance of ESG and shareholder value. The model seeks to quantify non-financial capital from a viewpoint that ESG values are factored into a company's price to book (P/B) ratio.

As of this writing, the following eight Japanese companies have publicly disclosed their adoption of the Yanagi Model: Eisai, KDDI, Nissin Foods Holdings, NEC, East Japan Railway Company, Asahi Group Holdings, Komatsu and Tsumura. In addition, several dozen companies have adopted the model through ABeam Consulting, a Tokyo-based consulting firm. Table 1 shows the "ABeam Top 30", which highlights the top ESG indicators that enhance the corporate value of Japanese companies.

| Rank | ESG<br>Classification | ESG Indicator Category                                 | ESG indicator   | Unit        |  |
|------|-----------------------|--|---|-------------|--|
| 1    | E                     | Circular Economy                                       | Amount of raw materials used  | tons        |  |
| 2    | S                     | Employee Recruitment                                   | Number of mid-career hires (male)                                       | persons     |  |
| 3    | S                     | Employee Recruitment                                   | Number of mid-career hires (female)                                     | persons     |  |
| 4    | S                     | Employee Retention                                     | Number of retirees/turnover (women)                                     | persons     |  |
| 5    | S                     | Appointment of Human<br>Resources                      | Number of newly appointed managerial positions                          | persons     |  |
| 6    | S                     | Human Resources<br>Development                         | Total training hours  | time        |  |
| 7    | S                     | Acquisition and Protection of<br>Intellectual Property | Number of registered patents  | patents     |  |
| 8    | S                     | Prevention of Occupational<br>Accidents                | Occupational accident frequency rate                                    | %           |  |
| 9    | E                     | Circular Economy                                       | Industrial waste emissions  | tons        |  |
| 10   | E                     | Circular Economy                                       | Recycling rate  | %           |  |
| 11   | S                     | Relationship with Local<br>Communities                 | Number of outside visitors (to company factory, museum, showroom, etc.) | persons     |  |
| 12   | E                     | Circular Economy                                       | Wastewater displacement   | m 3         |  |
| 13   | S                     | Employee Recruitment                                   | Number of employees   | persons     |  |
| 14   | E                     | Circular Economy                                       | Paper consumption   | t           |  |
| 15   | S                     | Balancing Work and Childcare                           | Number of employees taking childcare leave                              | persons     |  |
| 16   | E                     | Climate Change<br>Countermeasures                      | CO2 Emissions - Scope 2   | t-CO 2      |  |
| 17   | S                     | Balancing Work and Childcare                           | Number of employees taking childcare leave<br>(female)                  | persons     |  |
| 18   | S                     | Balancing Work and Childcare                           | Number of employees taking reduced working hours for childcare          | persons     |  |
| 19   | E                     | Circular Economy                                       | Green procurement rate  | %           |  |
| 20   | E                     | Reduction of Air Pollutant<br>Emissions                | NOx emissions   | t           |  |
| 21   | E                     | Climate Change<br>Countermeasures                      | CO2 emissions output per cost unit                                      | t-CO 2 /JPY |  |
| 22   | S                     | Employee Retention                                     | Number of retirees/turnover (male)                                      | persons     |  |
| 23   | S                     | Employee Recruitment                                   | Percentage of female hires  | %           |  |
| 24   | S                     | Human Resource<br>Development                          | Number of overseas trainees   | persons     |  |
| 25   | S                     | Human Resource<br>Development                          | Training hours per employee   | JPY/person  |  |
| 26   | S                     | Human Resource<br>Development                          | Training hours per employee   | hrs/person  |  |
| 27   | S                     | Prevention of Occupational<br>Accidents                | Lost-time injury frequency rate   | %           |  |
| 28   | G                     | Strengthening the Executive<br>Structure               | Number of outside audit & and supervisory board<br>members              | persons     |  |
| 29   | E                     | Climate Change<br>Countermeasures                      | Electricity consumption   | kWh         |  |
| 30   | S                     | Employee Recruitment                                   | Percentage of employees with disabilities                               | %           |  |

Table 1: Top 30 indicators that enhance Japanese companies' corporate value

Source: ABeam Consulting

Any reference to a particular security is purely for illustrative purpose only and does not constitute a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any security. Nor should it be relied upon as financial advice in any way.

2



### Applying the Yanagi Model to all TOPIX-listed companies

Initially, disclosure examples of the Yanagi Model were limited to individual companies, such as Eisai and Nissin Foods Holdings. The need therefore arose to verify whether the model could be applied to all Japanese companies. In our study we targeted all the TOPIX-listed companies and applied a large number of ESG indicators to the Yanagi Model to analyse and consider their relationships with shareholder value.

### **Gist of our analysis**

Our aim was to verify the relationship between 54 types of ESG key performance indicators (KPIs) and shareholder value by examining TOPIX constituent companies for multiple years. The analysis utilizes dummy variables to consider variations of price to book attributed to fiscal years as well as company-specific (or industry-specific) effects. Furthermore, since the relationship with shareholder value varies by industry from a materiality perspective, the same analysis was performed for each specific industry.

For our analysis, we utilised panel data accumulated in a time series by fiscal year (FY) from cross-section information of TOPIX-listed companies. The total amounted to 35,758 companies between FY2004-2022. Of these, we conducted regression analyses for each KPI for the companies for which data was available. The number of companies with available data varied depending on each KPI verified; the median value was 21,275 companies.

### **Research design**

The Yanagi Model formula used to conduct the regression analysis is shown below:

$$PBR_{i,t} = \beta \cdot ROE_{i,t} + \gamma \cdot KPI_{i,t} + Control + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(1)

# Control variables utilised in the model formula: fixed effect and pooled regression models

The two types of control variables we utilised for the above formula are (1) the fixed effect model and (2) the pooled regression model. The fixed effect model incorporates fiscal year dummy terms, with P/B levels adjusted for each fiscal year in the formula; it also incorporates company dummy terms, with P/B levels adjusted for each company. The pooled regression model controls fiscal year and industry factors using 10 industry classifications based on the TSE's 33 sectors.

### **ESG KPIs selected for our analysis**

- Environment (17 KPIs): Indicators included measurements of environmental impacts from company activities such as greenhouse gas emissions per sales unit, total volume of waste generated, volume of water usage and total energy consumption. Others included assessments as to whether a company has a policy on addressing environmental issues, discloses relevant targets and acts to meet its targets.
- Social issues (19 KPIs): Indicators included diversity (percentages of female employees, percentages of female directors, percentages of employees with disabilities), human capital (implementation of employee engagement, training hours per employee), human rights (efforts to maintain human rights, measures to prevent child labour).
- Governance (18 KPIs) Indicators included ratios of independent directors, the size of the board of directors, and the existence of ethical guidelines (such as corporate ethics and a bribery prevention policy, assuming a positive relationship with shareholder value).

## **Analysis results**

### **Results for all TOPIX-listed companies**

Our analysis results for all TOPIX-listed companies are shown in Table 2, which indicates the percentage of KPIs with statistical significance and the desirable sign of the coefficient in the above regression analysis.

Table 2: Percentage of signs obtained that are consistent with the hypothesis in the universe of all TOPIX constituents (significance level 5%: p-value less than 0.05)

|   | Fixed effect model<br>PBR level for each fiscal year | Pooled regression model<br>r PBR level for each fiscal year |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|
|   | and company adjusted                                 | and industry adjusted                                       |  |  |
| E | 18%  | 35%   |  |  |
| S | 32%  | 42%   |  |  |
| G | 22%  | 50%   |  |  |

Table 2 indicates that 35-50% of the ESG indicators showed a statistically significant relationship with shareholder value in the pooled regression model. In contrast, fewer ESG KPIs indicate the relationship in the fixed effect model. This is because compared to the pooled regression model, the fixed effect model is affected by company attributes that are fixed over an extended period of time. For example, we found that the estimated coefficient signs for a specific KPI (e.g. Scope 1+2 greenhouse gas intensity) were different in the two models. The pooled regression model had a coefficient estimate that was consistent with the expected sign based on the hypothesis, while the fixed effect model did not.

For social (S) indicators, a strong significance was observed in KPIs related to factors such as the ratio of female executives and directors. The results suggest that improvement in the ratio of women with positions close to company decision-making had a strong relationship with shareholder value. In 2023, the government's female empowerment and gender equality plan contained a proposal for setting numerical targets for ratios of female directors at companies listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange's Prime Market. This appears to have created a supportive relationship. The governance (G) indicators showed that a significant percentage of companies were not doing enough to rectify their situation even though they were failing to generate enough profits to meet the cost of their shareholder's equity. This highlights an area that companies and investors need to focus on for better engagement.

#### **Results by industry**

Our analysis results by industry are shown in Table 3, which also lists the ratios of statistically significant and desirable correlations between ESG indicators and shareholder value.

| Table 3: Percentage of signs obtained that are consistent with the hypothesis by sector (significance level |  |
|---|--|
| 5%: p-value less than 0.05)   |  |

|   | Fixed effect model |     | Pooled regression model |     | n model | Field showing high ratio of meaningful and desirable relationships with |  |
|---|--------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|---------|---|--|
|   | E                  | S   | G                       | Е   | S       | G   | PBR  |
| Materials                                 | 12%                | 32% | 22%                     | 53% | 53%     | 50%   | Diversity, board of directors composition, other environmental     |
| Steel/Machinery                           | 12%                | 12% | 28%                     | 47% | 41%     | 50%   | Other environmental, human rights, diversity                       |
| Automobiles                               | 18%                | 16% | 17%                     | 59% | 42%     | 44%   | Corporate ethics, energy management, human rights                  |
| Construction/real estate                  | 6%                 | 11% | 17%                     | 12% | 37%     | 50%   | Board of directors composition, diversity, waste management        |
| Pharmaceutical/foods                      | 29%                | 22% | 11%                     | 24% | 39%     | 44%   | Board of directors composition, diversity, human rights            |
| Electric appliances/precision instruments | 24%                | 21% | 17%                     | 35% | 26%     | 50%   | Board of directors composition, energy management, diversity       |
| Utilities                                 | 29%                | 25% | 6%                      | 35% | 25%     | 35%   | Board of directors composition, other environmental, diversity     |
| Communication                             | 18%                | 5%  | 17%                     | 29% | 21%     | 50%   | Board of directors composition, energy management, waste managemer |
| Consumption                               | 6%                 | 5%  | 11%                     | 18% | 37%     | 56%   | Board of directors composition, diversity, other governance        |
| Financials                                | 25%                | 17% | 17%                     | 13% | 11%     | 39%   | Board of directors composition, diversity, other environmental     |
| Average                                   | 18%                | 17% | 16%                     | 32% | 33%     | 47%   | (Entire TOPIX)   |
| Standard deviation                        | 9%                 | 9%  | 6%                      | 17% | 12%     | 6%  | Board of directors composition, energy management, diversity       |

Note: The rightmost column shows 54 items of sustainability information (KPIs) classified into the 10 fields shown below. The three fields with the highest ratios of KPIs found to have 5% significance in the pooled regression model are shown. As there are multiple fields for which the ratio shown on the left is the same, in cases where the top three fields could not be determined, the decision was made based on a significance of 1%. If that was not possible, the decision was made based on a significance of 0.1%.

Environmental (E): climate change, energy management, waste management, other environmental (water, atmosphere, supply chain management, biodiversity, etc.) Social (S): human capital, diversity, human rights

Governance (G): board of directors composition, corporate ethics, other governance (top management compensation, shareholder return standard infringement, takeover defense measures, etc.)

4

As with our all-TOPIX analysis, the pooled regression model showed higher ratios of statistically significant relationships between ESG indicators and shareholders' value compared to the fixed effects model. There was more variation in environmental (E) and social (S) indicators relative to governance (G) indicators, especially with the pooled regression model; for example, more KPIs with statistical significance were found in materials, steel/machinery and automobiles for environmental indicators compared to other industries like consumer goods and construction & real estate.

We also found that there are industry-specific factors that affect the materiality of sustainability information. For example, environmental-related initiatives such as climate change and waste management can have a greater impact on shareholder value in some industries (such as materials, steel/machinery and automobiles) than in others (such as consumption and construction/real estate). Similarly, the relationship between diversity and shareholder value can vary depending on the industry and other attributes. Overall, the materiality of sustainability information may have more pronounced industry-specific attributes for environment and social-related factors than for governance-related factors.

### **Summary**

Our approach considered industry or company-specific factors and utilised data from all TOPIX-listed companies. The results unequivocally demonstrate the positive relationship between some ESG initiatives and shareholder value, providing a solid foundation for confidence and conviction in the Yanagi Model.

Our analysis found that some ESG KPIs had a statistically significant and desirable relationship with shareholder value. For some of those indicators, the same results were confirmed in the previous research introduced in Table 1, i.e., the "ABeam Top 30". This consistency has important implications for corporate managers, accounting and sustainability experts, portfolio managers and others. On the other hand, we also found that efforts related to human capital and human rights, such as measures to prevent child labour, take time to be reflected in shareholder value. As such, persistent efforts are essential in this area.

We hope that our empirical research results will encourage dialogue between companies and investors regarding sustainable improvement of shareholder value. As ESG efforts continue to progress on the corporate side, we expect this to enhance shareholder value for many Japanese companies. This is in line with the recommendations of the Japan Exchange Group.

Our comprehensive analysis of the Yanagi Model across TOPIX-listed companies highlights a critical finding: ESG integration can drive value when applied in a focused manner through the materiality lens. The significant correlations found, especially in social and governance factors, require our attention. The data speaks volumes, in our view. Integrating ESG factors is not optional; it is essential for long-term value creation.

**Important information:** This document is prepared by Nikko Asset Management Co., Ltd. and/or its affiliates (Nikko AM) and is for distribution only under such circumstances as may be permitted by applicable laws. This document does not constitute personal investment advice or a personal recommendation and it does not consider in any way the objectives, financial situation or needs of any recipients. All recipients are recommended to consult with their independent tax, financial and legal advisers prior to any investment.

This document is for information purposes only and is not intended to be an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any investments or participate in any trading strategy. Moreover, the information in this document will not affect Nikko AM's investment strategy in any way. The information and opinions in this document have been derived from or reached from sources believed in good faith to be reliable but have not been independently verified. Nikko AM makes no guarantee, representation or warranty, express or implied, and accepts no responsibility or liability for the accuracy or completeness of this document. No reliance should be placed on any assumptions, forecasts, projections, estimates or prospects contained within this document. This document should not be regarded by recipients as a substitute for the exercise of their own judgment. Opinions stated in this document may change without notice.

In any investment, past performance is neither an indication nor guarantee of future performance and a loss of capital may occur. Estimates of future performance are based on assumptions that may not be realised. Investors should be able to withstand the loss of any principal investment. The mention of individual securities, sectors, regions or countries within this document does not imply a recommendation to buy or sell. Nikko AM accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of the use of all or any part of this document, provided that nothing herein excludes or restricts any liability of Nikko AM under applicable regulatory rules or requirements.

All information contained in this document is solely for the attention and use of the intended recipients. Any use beyond that intended by Nikko AM is strictly prohibited.

Japan: The information contained in this document pertaining specifically to the investment products is not directed at persons in Japan nor is it intended for distribution to persons in Japan. Registration Number: Director of the Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments firms) No. 368 Member Associations: The Investment Trusts Association, Japan/Japan Investment Advisers Association.

**United Kingdom**: This document is communicated by Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd, which is authorised and regulated in the United Kingdom by the Financial Conduct Authority (the FCA) (FRN 122084). This document constitutes a financial promotion for the purposes of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (as amended) (FSMA) and the rules of the FCA in the United Kingdom, and is directed at professional clients as defined in the FCA Handbook of Rules and Guidance.

Luxembourg and Germany: This document is communicated by Nikko Asset Management Luxembourg S.A., which is authorised and regulated in the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (the CSSF) as a management company authorised under Chapter 15 of the Law of 17 December 2010 (No S00000717) and as an alternative investment fund manager according to the Law of 12 July 2013 (No. A00002630).

**United States:** This document may not be duplicated, quoted, discussed or otherwise shared without prior consent. An offering of any investments, securities or investment advisory services with respect to securities may only be made by receipt of relevant and complete offering documentation and agreements, as applicable. Any offering or distribution of a Fund in the United States may only be conducted via a licensed and registered broker-dealer or a duly qualified entity. Nikko Asset Management Americas, Inc. is a United States Registered Investment Adviser.

Singapore: This document is for information to institutional investors as defined in the Securities and Futures Act (Chapter 289), and intermediaries only. Nikko Asset Management Asia Limited (Co. Reg. No. 198202562H) is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore.

Hong Kong: This document is for information to professional investors as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance, and intermediaries only. The contents of this document have not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission or any regulatory authority in Hong Kong. Nikko Asset Management Hong Kong Limited is a licensed corporation in Hong Kong.

**New Zealand:** This document is issued in New Zealand by Nikko Asset Management New Zealand Limited (Company No. 606057, FSP22562). It is for the use of wholesale clients, researchers, licensed financial advisers and their authorised representatives only.

Kingdom of Bahrain: The document has not been approved by the Central Bank of Bahrain which takes no responsibility for its contents. No offer to the public to purchase the Strategy will be made in the Kingdom of Bahrain and this document is intended to be read by the addressee only and must not be passed to, issued to, or shown to the public generally.

Kuwait: This document is not for general circulation to the public in Kuwait. The Strategy has not been licensed for offering in Kuwait by the Kuwaiti Capital Markets Authority or any other relevant Kuwaiti government agency. The offering of the Strategy in Kuwait on the basis a private placement or public offering is, therefore, restricted in accordance with Decree Law No. 7 of 2010 and the bylaws thereto (as amended). No private or public offering of the Strategy is being made in Kuwait, and no agreement relating to the sale of the Strategy will be concluded in Kuwait. No marketing or solicitation or inducement activities are being used to offer or market the Strategy in Kuwait.

**Kingdom of Saudi Arabia:** This document is communicated by Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd (Nikko AME), which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (as amended) (FSMA) and the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority (the FCA) in the United Kingdom (the FCA Rules). This document should not be reproduced, redistributed, or sent directly or indirectly to any other party or published in full or in part for any purpose whatsoever without a prior written permission from Nikko AME.

6

This document does not constitute investment advice or a personal recommendation and does not consider in any way the suitability or appropriateness of the subject matter for the individual circumstances of any recipient. In providing a person with this document, Nikko AME is not treating that person as a client for the purposes of the FCA Rules other than those relating to financial promotion and that person will not therefore benefit from any protections that would be available to such clients.

Nikko AME and its associates and/or its or their officers, directors or employees may have or have had positions or material interests, may at any time make purchases and/or sales as principal or agent, may provide or have provided corporate finance services to issuers or may provide or have provided significant advice or investment services in any investments referred to in this document or in related investments. Relevant confidential information, if any, known within any company in the Nikko AM group or Sumitomo Mitsui Trust Holdings group and not available to Nikko AME because of regulations or internal procedure is not reflected in this document. The investments mentioned in this document may not be eligible for sale in some states or countries, and they may not be suitable for all types of investors.

**Oman:** The information contained in this document nether constitutes a public offer of securities in the Sultanate of Oman as contemplated by the Commercial companies law of Oman (Royal decree 4/74) or the Capital Markets Law of Oman (Royal Decree80/98, nor does it constitute an offer to sell, or the solicitation of any offer to buy non-Omani securities in the Sultanate of Oman as contemplated by Article 139 of the Executive Regulations to the Capital Market law (issued by Decision No. 1/2009). This document is not intended to lead to the conclusion of any contract of whatsoever nature within the territory of the Sultanate of Oman.

Qatar (excluding QFC): The Strategies are only being offered to a limited number of investors who are willing and able to conduct an independent investigation of the risks involved in an investment in such Strategies. The document does not constitute an offer to the public and should not be reproduced, redistributed, or sent directly or indirectly to any other party or published in full or in part for any purpose whatsoever without a prior written permission from Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd (Nikko AME). No transaction will be concluded in your jurisdiction and any inquiries regarding the Strategies should be made to Nikko AME.

United Arab Emirates (excluding DIFC): This document and the information contained herein, do not constitute, and is not intended to constitute, a public offer of securities in the United Arab Emirates and accordingly should not be construed as such. The Strategy is only being offered to a limited number of investors in the UAE who are (a) willing and able to conduct an independent investigation of the risks involved in an investment in such Strategy, and (b) upon their specific request.

The Strategy has not been approved by or licensed or registered with the UAE Central Bank, the Securities and Commodities Authority or any other relevant licensing authorities or governmental agencies in the UAE. This document is for the use of the named addressee only and should not be given or shown to any other person (other than employees, agents or consultants in connection with the addressee's consideration thereof). No transaction will be concluded in the UAE and any inquiries regarding the Strategy should be made to Nikko Asset Management Europe Ltd.

**Republic of Korea:** This document is being provided for general information purposes only, and shall not, and under no circumstances is, to be construed as, an offering of financial investment products or services. Nikko AM is not making any representation with respect to the eligibility of any person to acquire any financial investment product or service. The offering and sale of any financial investment product is subject to the applicable regulations of the Republic of Korea. Any interests in a fund or collective investment scheme shall be sold after such fund is registered under the private placement registration regime in accordance with the applicable regulations of the Republic of Korea, and the offering of such registered fund shall be conducted only through a locally licensed distributor.

**Canada:** The information provide herein does not constitute any form of financial opinion or investment advice on the part of Nikko AM and it should not be relied on as such. It does not constitute a prospectus, offering memorandum or private placement memorandum in Canada, and may not be used in making any investment decision. It should not be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell a security in Canada. This information is provided for informational and educational use only.